TECHNICAL ANNEX

Afghanistan, Pakistan, Iran and Central Asia

FINANCIAL, ADMINISTRATIVE AND OPERATIONAL INFORMATION

The provisions of the financing decision ECHO/WWD/BUD/2018/01000 and the General Conditions of the Agreement with the European Commission shall take precedence over the provisions in this document.

The activities proposed hereafter are subject to any terms and conditions which may be included in the related Humanitarian Implementation Plan (HIP).

1. CONTACTS

Operational Unit in charge: DG ECHO¹ / C.4

Head of Sector: Philippe MAUGHAN - Philippe.Maughan@ec.europa.eu

• For **Afghanistan**:

At HQ: Daniel WEISS - <u>Daniel.Weiss@ec.europa.eu</u>
In the field: Esmée DE JONG - <u>Esmee.De-Jong@echofield.eu</u>

Philippe BONHOURE - Philippe.Bonhoure@echofield.eu

Marco MENESTRINA - Marco.Menestrina@echofield.eu

• For **Pakistan**:

At HQ: Lâle WIESNER - Lale.Wiesner@ec.europa.eu

In the field: Taheeni Thammannagoda - <u>Tahini.Thammannagoda@echofield.eu</u>

Caroline BIRCH - Caroline.Birch@echofield.eu

• For Iran

At HQ: Lâle WIESNER - <u>Lale.Wiesner@ec.europa.eu</u>
In the field: Caroline BIRCH - <u>Caroline.Birch@echofield.eu</u>

• For Central Asia

At HQ: Lâle WIESNER - <u>Lale.Wiesner@ec.europa.eu</u>
In the field: David SEVCIK - <u>David.Sevcik@echofield.eu</u>

.

Directorate-General for European Civil Protection and Humanitarian Aid Operations (ECHO)

2. FINANCIAL INFO

Indicative Allocation: EUR 36 500 000

Breakdown as per Worldwide decision:

	Specific Objective 1 - Man-made crises ²	Specific Objective 4 - Disaster Preparedness
Afghanistan	EUR 26 000 000	EUR 0
Pakistan	EUR 3 500 000	EUR 2 000 000
Iran	EUR 5 000 000	
Sub total	EUR 34 500 000	EUR 2 000 000

3. PROPOSAL ASSESSMENT

3.1. Administrative info

Allocation round 1: Afghanistan

- a) Indicative amount: up to EUR 27 000 000 EUR 26 000 000
- b) Description of the humanitarian aid interventions relating to this assessment round: please refer to section 3.4 of the HIP and to the specific guidelines under section 3.2.2.2 of the technical annex.
- c) Costs will be eligible from 1/1/2018³. Actions may start from 1/1/2018.
- d) The expected initial duration for the Action is up to 12 months for Humanitarian Aid Actions and 18 Months for DP/DRR Actions.
- e) Potential partners⁴: DG ECHO Partners with an established presence in Afghanistan.
- f) Information to be provided: Single Form⁵.
- g) Indicative date for receipt of the above requested information: 14/01/2018⁶.

² As possibly aggravated by natural disasters.

The eligibility date of the Action is not linked to the date of receipt of the Single Form. It is either the eligibility date set in the Single form or the eligibility date of the HIP, whatever occurs latest.

For British applicants (non-governmental organisations): Please be aware that you must comply with the requirement of establishment in an EU Member State for the entire duration of the grants awarded under this HIP. If the United Kingdom withdraws from the EU during the grant period without concluding an agreement with the EU ensuring in particular that British applicants continue to be eligible, you will cease to receive EU funding or be required to leave the project on the basis of Article 15 of the grant agreement.

⁵ Single Forms will be submitted to ECHO using APPEL.

The Commission reserves the right to consider Single Forms transmitted after this date, especially in case certain needs/priorities are not covered by the received Single Forms.

Allocation round 2: Pakistan

- a) Indicative amount: up to EUR 5 500 000
- b) Description of the humanitarian aid interventions relating to this assessment round. Please refer to section 3.4 of the HIP and to the specific guidelines under section 3.2.2.2 of this Technical Annex.
- c) Costs will be eligible from 1/1/2018⁷. Actions may start from 1/1/2018.
- d) The expected initial duration for the Action is up to 12 months for Humanitarian Aid Actions and 18 Months for DP/DRR Actions.
- e) Potential partners⁸: All DG ECHO Partners who have an established presence in Pakistan and who have not been refused a Government of Pakistan Memorandum of Understanding to operate in Pakistan.
- f) Information to be provided: Single Form⁹.
- g) Indicative date for receipt of the above requested information: 17/12/2017¹⁰.

Allocation round 3: Iran

- a) Indicative amount: up to EUR 5 000 000
- b) Description of the humanitarian aid interventions relating to this assessment round. Please refer to section 3.4 of the HIP and to the specific guidelines under section 3.2.2.2 of this Technical Annex.
- c) Costs will be eligible from 1/1/2018¹¹. Actions may start from 1/1/2018.
- d) The expected initial duration for the Action is up to 12 months.
- e) Potential partners¹²: DG ECHO Partners with an established presence in Iran.
- f) Information to be provided: Single Form¹³.
- g) Indicative date for receipt of the above requested information: 04/02/2018¹⁴.

3.2. Operational requirements:

3.2.1. Assessment criteria:

Each action will be assessed against a set of criteria according to the specific context of intervention. These criteria include:

The eligibility date of the Action is not linked to the date of receipt of the Single Form. It is either the eligibility date set in the Single form or the eligibility date of the HIP, whatever occurs latest.

^{9,13} Single Forms will be submitted to ECHO using APPEL.

The Commission reserves the right to consider Single Forms transmitted after this date, especially in case certain needs/priorities are not covered by the received Single Forms.

For British applicants (non-governmental organisations): Please be aware that you must comply with the requirement of establishment in an EU Member State for the entire duration of the grants awarded under this HIP. If the United Kingdom withdraws from the EU during the grant period without concluding an agreement with the EU ensuring in particular that British applicants continue to be eligible, you will cease to receive EU funding or be required to leave the project on the basis of Article 15 of the grant agreement.

- ➤ Relevance to DG ECHO strategy and operational requirements;
- > Quality of the needs assessment;¹⁵
- Quality of the response strategy, including the relevance of the intervention and coverage;
- ➤ The logical framework, including robust and relevant output and outcome indicators;
- > Feasibility;
- > Implementation capacity and technical expertise; and
- > Knowledge of the country/region.

Depending on the characteristics of the crisis, other elements are likely to be taken into account when assessing the proposals, such as:

- Security;
- **Coordination**;
- Access arrangements;
- Monitoring system;
- > Sustainability, resilience, Linking Relief Rehabilitation and Development;
- Cost efficiency; or comparative advantage of the action or the partners.

In case of actions ongoing in the field, where DG ECHO is requested to fund the continuation thereof, a field visit may be conducted by DG ECHO field expert (TA) to determine the feasibility and quality of the follow-up action proposed.

3.2.2. Operational guidelines:

This section outlines the general and specific operational guidelines which need to be taken into account by DG ECHO partners in the design of humanitarian operations supported by DG ECHO. Complementary information can be retrieved on these guidelines in the links provided below. Partners are invited to duly reflect the guidance provided in these documents in the preparation of their proposals to DG ECHO.

3.2.2.1. General Guidelines

The humanitarian principles of humanity, neutrality, impartiality and independence, in line with the European Consensus on Humanitarian Aid, and strict adherence to a **"do no harm"** approach remain paramount.

The safe and secure provision of aid: The ability to safely deliver assistance to all areas must be preserved. DG ECHO requests its partners to include in the project proposal details on how safety and security of staff (including the staff of implementing partners) and assets is being considered as well as an analysis of threats and plans to mitigate and

Partners are expected to contribute and use coordinated needs assessments on crisis and sector level in line with Grand Bargain commitments

limit exposure to risks. DG ECHO or its partners can request the suspension of ongoing actions as a result of serious threats to the safety of staff.

Accountability: As the quality and robustness of any humanitarian aid operation lie first and foremost with the organisation that proposes it and will be responsible for its implementation in the field, attention is drawn to the fact that DG ECHO partners' accountability in this respect relate, *inter alia*, to the following aspects of Actions' design and implementation:

- The identification of the beneficiaries and of their needs through robust, comprehensive methods conducted in a coordinated manner with humanitarian partners on sector and crisis level¹⁶;
- Management and monitoring of operations, as properly facilitated by adequate systems in place;
- o Monitoring and reporting on activities, outputs and outcomes, through robust indicators and the associated capacities to collect and analyse information;
- o Identification and analysis of logistic and access constraints and risks, and the steps taken to address them.

Local disaster response organisations have had and continue to play an indispensable role in responding to the humanitarian needs. DG ECHO funds have and will be translated into services and assistance provided by local actors in the majority of cases. As such, DG ECHO will continue to ask for strategic partnerships of FPA/FAFA partners with local actors in line with the Grand Bargain commitments.

Grand Bargain commitments: DG ECHO and most of its main partners have signed up to the Grand Bargain, a set of commitments in line with current good practice and ongoing policy discussions seeking to bring about substantial changes in terms of aid efficiency. While many of the commitments require further ground work on a global level, progress can be made in 2018 already on a certain number of commitments. In addition to the commitments covered by specific section in this annex (cash, humanitarian-development nexus, localisation and accountability to populations), partners are expected to explore and propose concrete ways of implementing commitments such as multi-annual planning and reduced duplication and management costs (such as making use of technology and innovation to be more cost effective or providing clear, comparable cost structures).

Innovation and the private sector: Humanitarian emergencies are reaching unprecedented levels. Strengthening the capacity of humanitarian actors to respond to natural disasters and man-made crises in an effective and efficient manner is a priority. Innovation can play an important role in this respect. Harnessing the technological innovation, technical skills and expertise of the private sector and academia is determinant. Where it is in the interest of the action, and without prejudice to the applicable legal framework, DG ECHO encourages an increased involvement of a wide range of actors, including the local and international private sector, and the adoption of

_

¹⁶ See footnote related to the quality of needs assessment and the Grand bargain-related section below.

innovative solutions and approaches to optimising the efficiency and effectiveness of the humanitarian response.

Cash-based assistance: DG ECHO will support the most effective and efficient modality of providing assistance, whether it be cash, vouchers or in-kind assistance. However, in line with WHS commitments, DG ECHO will endeavour to increase cash-based interventions in the interests of cost efficiency and effectiveness gains. Partners should provide sufficient information on the reasons why a transfer modality is proposed and another one is excluded through a robust response analysis (see section below) Partners are encouraged to consider multipurpose cash transfers (MPCT) where assessments and response analysis demonstrates that multiple basic needs can be met through single cash transfers.

DG ECHO's Cash Guidance note covering the delivery of large-scale cash transfers applies when the delivery of cash at scale is envisaged. The Guidance note, as updated, will apply to 2018 HIPs.

Strengthening coordination: Partners should provide specific information on their active engagement in cluster/sector and inter-cluster/sector coordination: participation in coordination mechanisms at different levels, not only in terms of meetings but also in terms of coordinated field assessments and engagement in technical groups and joint planning activities. The partners should actively engage with the relevant local authorities and, when feasible and appropriate, stipulate co-ordination in Memoranda of Understanding. When appropriate, partners should endeavour to exchange views on issues of common interest with actors present in the field (e.g. EU, UN, AU missions, etc.). In certain circumstances, coordination and deconfliction with military actors might be necessary. This should be done in a way that does not endanger humanitarian actors or the humanitarian space, and without prejudice to the mandate and responsibilities of the actor concerned.

http://ec.europa.eu/echo/en/what/humanitarian-aid/civil-military-relations

Preparedness for Response and Early Action: As part of the commitment of DG ECHO to mainstream disaster preparedness in EU-funded humanitarian operations, the needs assessment presented in the Single Form should reflect, whenever relevant, the exposure to the range of hazards affecting people at the village/ community level (natural hazards and conflict related threats), the related vulnerability of the targeted population and their ability to cope. This analysis should also assess the likely impact of the humanitarian intervention on both immediate and future risks as well as the partner's institutional commitment to, and operational capability in, managing risk (technical competence in the relevant sectors of intervention). The Disaster Preparedness (DP) approach and related measures are relevant in all humanitarian sectors (WASH, nutrition, food assistance and livelihoods, health, protection, etc.), and should be systematically considered in hazard-prone contexts. Risk-informed programming across sectors should protect operations and beneficiaries from hazard and threats occurrence, and include contingency arrangements for additional or expanded activities that might be required. Information from early warning systems should be incorporated into programme decision making and design, even where the humanitarian operation is not the result of a specific hazard.

For targeted DP interventions, the information in the Single Form should clearly show that:

- all risks have been clearly identified, including their possible interactions;
- the intervention strengthens and promotes regional, national and local capacities for better preparedness and response at local level;
- the partner has an appropriate monitoring, evaluation and learning mechanism to ensure that evidence of the impact of the action and good practices are gathered and effectively disseminated;
- the action is justified by an explanation of the losses and suffering that will be avoided or reduced (and why this conclusion is valid);
- due consideration has been given to the integration of contingencies and preparedness arrangements (shock responsiveness) into planning to provide locally owned basic service delivery and social protection for vulnerable populations (e.g. for social, safety net programmes), notably in situations of protracted or recurrent crises;
- the use of EU Aid Volunteers in the DP intervention is envisaged or not and for what kind of tasks;
- in more fragile context, the development of national and local competencies for early action and locally owned Rapid/Emergency Response Mechanisms (ERMs) implemented by local actors should be considered. Actions to build local preparedness capabilities will include opportunities to apply and benefit from the resources and expertise held by the Union Civil Protection Mechanism (UCPM).

 $\underline{\text{http://ec.europa.eu/echo/files/policies/prevention_preparedness/DRR_thematic_policy_d}$ oc.pdf

Education in Emergencies (EiE): DG ECHO will support education actions in emergencies including sudden onset emergencies, ongoing conflicts, natural disasters and situations of displacement (IDP/Refugee). The objective of these EiE actions will be to prevent, reduce, mitigate and respond to emergency-related barriers to children's education while ensuring inclusive and quality education EiE actions will respond to the multiple barriers (academic, financial, social, institutional, physical/infrastructural) that children face in accessing their education due to their experiences of the humanitarian situation. As such, EiE actions must be tailored to the different needs of children based on their age, gender and other specific circumstances including the

_

¹⁷ The Commission adheres to the UN Convention on the Rights of the Child that defines a 'child' as a person below the age of 18.

The definition of quality education: Quality education is affordable, accessible, gender-sensitive and responds to diversity. It includes (1) a safe and inclusive learner-friendly environment; (2) competent and well-trained teachers who are knowledgeable in the subject matter and pedagogy; (3) an appropriate context-specific curriculum that is comprehensible and culturally, linguistically and socially relevant for the learners; (4) adequate and relevant materials for teaching and learning; (5) participatory methods of instruction and learning processes that respect the dignity of the learner; (6) appropriate class sizes and teacher-student ratios; and (7) an emphasis on recreation, play, sport and creative activities in addition to areas such as literacy, numeracy, and life skills. INEE. (2010). Minimum Standards for Education: Preparedness, Response, Recovery.

specific impact of the emergency they face (e.g. unaccompanied minors, former child soldiers, and disabled children). DG ECHO EiE actions work towards three outcomes:

- **Outcome 1**: Children affected by humanitarian crises access to and learn in safe, quality and accredited primary and secondary education
- Outcome 2: Children affected by humanitarian crises learn life-saving and life-sustaining skills, are protected and have increased personal resilience
- Outcome 3: Education services are strengthened through preparedness, response and recovery interventions in line with the *INEE Minimum Standards for Education: Preparedness, Response, Recovery*¹⁹

DG ECHO's support to EiE will focus on non-formal and formal education in the context of primary and secondary levels of education. Non-formal education supports should, where possible, enable children to enter (or re-enter) the formal system. Early childhood development will be considered in specific circumstances where it is already embedded in formal education in a national system or where specific skill or protection needs are identified to enter primary school. Technical and vocational education and training (TVET) programmes are considered to fall outside of the scope of work for DG ECHO's EiE response.

Protection must be considered as both a core component and key outcome of EiE response. The provision of safe learning environments, psycho-social support and direct referral to child protection services will provide a protective environment for children impacted by emergency. The learning itself – in both formal and non-formal education actions – must provide relevant life-saving and life-sustaining skills and messages, including vital health, nutrition and hygiene information, HIV prevention, sexual- and reproductive health information and DRR training and awareness. In order to ensure safe and protective education, all actions supported by DG ECHO are expected to be designed and implemented according to the principles of conflict sensitive education (CSE). EiE actions should reflect relevant legal frameworks for protection (International Humanitarian Law, International Human Rights Law and Refugee Law).

In order to ensure holistic response to the needs of children, it is encouraged that beyond child protection EiE actions are also linked with other life-saving humanitarian sectors, such as WASH, health and nutrition, whenever relevant and feasible.

EiE actions should be recognized as not distinct from long-term learning goals and as such also aim at strengthening the quality aspects of education, in particular the availability of and support to teachers through the recruitment and capacity development of facilitators and teachers.

Whenever relevant and supportive of safe, inclusive and quality education, DG ECHO will support innovative EiE solutions.

EiE actions should be conceived with a medium to long-term vision. This implies first and foremost that programmes be designed and implemented in a way that allows for the fullest and most rapid recovery of safe, inclusive and quality education services. At the same time, programmes must be aligned with development and/or government actors to

-

¹⁹ Inter-Agency Network on Education in Emergencies (INEE) (2010): Minimum Standards for Education: Preparedness, Response, Recovery.

ensure continuity of learning for affected children through proper transition planning. Therefore, in order to ensure continuity and alignment with both, the wider humanitarian and development context, EiE actions must be informed by any existing education sector framework as well as the inter-sectoral humanitarian response. Furthermore, in order to ensure coordination, harmonization and effective prioritization within the EiE response, partners implementing EiE actions supported by DG ECHO will be expected to participate in, and contribute to, national and/or sub-national sector coordination activities throughout the Humanitarian Programme Cycle. EiE actions should contribute to the strategic objectives of the education cluster/working group strategy (if one exists) and to any wider strategic sector objectives based on the humanitarian-development nexus.

All EiE actions funded by DG ECHO should adhere in their design and implementation to the <u>INEE Minimum Standards for Education: Preparedness, Response, Recovery</u>, as well as the IASC Minimum Standards for Child Protection.

http://ec.europa.eu/echo/files/policies/sectoral/children_2008_Emergency_Crisis_Situations_en.pdf

Gender-Age Mainstreaming: Women, girls, boys, men of all ages are affected by crises in different ways and emergencies tend to change gender dynamics. Ensuring gender-age mainstreaming is therefore crucial to DG ECHO and an issue of quality programming. To this end, the needs and capacities of different gender and age groups among targeted populations must be adequately assessed and assistance must be adapted to ensure that equal access is granted and specific needs are addressed.

All project proposals/reports must demonstrate integration of gender and age in a coherent manner throughout the Single Form, including in the needs assessment and risk analysis, the logical framework, description of activities and the gender-age marker section. Context-specific gender-sensitive needs assessments and gender analysis must be conducted to avoid vulnerability-related assumptions (e.g. women should not be considered the most vulnerable groups by default) and to ensure a more effective targeting. On the basis of the identified needs, practical examples of assistance adapted to the needs of different gender and age groups must also be provided in the Single Form. Actions targeting one specific gender and/or age group – particularly when one group is clearly more vulnerable than others – may in some instances be deemed necessary (e.g. unaccompanied children or adolescents): such actions should respond to a clear need that has been identified through a gender and age analysis and cannot be adequately addressed through mainstreaming. While assistance may specifically target one group, the participation of other groups may prove crucial for reaching the expected impact.

Notwithstanding the paragraph on protection on the next page, which should be read in conjunction, all humanitarian interventions funded by DG ECHO must take into consideration, together with other protection concerns, any risk of gender-based violence and develop and implement appropriate strategies to prevent such risks. Moreover, in line with its life-saving mandate, DG ECHO encourages the establishment of quality, comprehensive and safe GBV response services since the onset of emergencies. Further details are available in DG ECHO 2013 Gender policy.

http://ec.europa.eu/echo/files/policies/sectoral/gender_thematic_policy_document_en.pdf

The Gender-Age Marker is a tool that uses four criteria to assess how strongly DG ECHO funded humanitarian actions integrates gender and age consideration. More information about the marker and how it is applied are available in the Gender-Age Marker Toolkit:

http://ec.europa.eu/echo/files/policies/sectoral/gender age marker toolkit.pdf.

http://ec.europa.eu/echo/what/humanitarian-aid/gender-sensitive-aid_en

Integrated approaches: Whenever possible, integrated approaches with multi- or cross-sectoral programming of responses in specific geographical areas are encouraged to maximize impact, synergies and cost-effectiveness. In contexts where it has been determined (see also response analysis below) that cash transfers are an appropriate modality, and that cash can meet multiple basic needs, partners are encouraged to transfer single payments using a common delivery platform. Multi-purpose cash transfers (MPCT) should be coordinated alongside other sector-specific responses within a basic needs approach, but fragmenting MPCT into sector clusters for coordination is not encouraged. MPCTs also offer the opportunity to conduct joined up assessments across sectors (including market analysis), common registration, targeting, and monitoring and evaluation frameworks. As far as possible, and in line with DG ECHO's Guidance on the delivery of large-scale cash transfers, support functions should be separated out from actual transfers in order to enhance efficiency, transparency and accountability. Partners are requested to provide information on how their actions are integrated with other actors present in the same area.

Multi-year planning and funding: In crises where it is appropriate to engage in multi-year interventions (i.e. 24 months and longer), actions should be grounded in a longer-term strategy including possible risks and contingencies that may occur over the timeframe as well as exit scenarios and Linking Relief, Rehabilitation and Development. Project design should also be done in a more flexible manner, taking into account the longer duration and the possible changes in context that may occur during implementation.

Protection: All programme design and targeting should be based on a clear analysis of threats, vulnerabilities and capacities of the affected population and it is recommended to use the risk equation model as a tool to conduct this analysis. The analysis should bring out external and internal threats to the target population as well as the coping strategies adopted to counteract the vulnerabilities arising from the threats. Protection responses must aim to prevent, reduce/mitigate and respond to the risks and consequences of violence, coercion, deliberate deprivation and abuse for persons, groups and communities in the context of humanitarian crises. Consideration of protection concerns is important in all contexts, but should, in particular, be reflected in any actions implemented in a displacement-hosting context (be it refugees or IDPs), in situations of conflict or in contexts where social exclusion is a known factor, and where considerations on intercommunal relationships are of utmost importance for the protection of the affected population.

_

The model stipulates that Risks equals Threats multiplied by Vulnerabilities divided by Capacities, and the way to reduce risks is by reducing the threats and vulnerabilities and increasing the capacities

The application of an **integrated protection programming approach** is highly encouraged. In this particular attention should be paid to addressing protection threats and vulnerabilities emanating from issues such as freedom of movement restrictions and the use of dangerous/negative coping mechanisms. For more information please consult the Guidance for Integrated Food Assistance and Protection Programming in the DG ECHO Humanitarian Protection Thematic Policy Document.²¹

While humanitarian assistance often focuses on community-level interventions, it is important to remember that, in order to fully address many protection issues, it is also necessary to consider the relevance and feasibility of advocacy (structural level) interventions aimed at (a) stopping the violations by perpetrators and/or (b) convincing the duty-bearers to fulfil their responsibilities.

Mainstreaming of basic protection principles in all programmes is of paramount importance to DG ECHO – no matter what sector or objective. While mainstreaming protection is closely linked to the 'do no harm' principle, it widens it to prioritising safety and dignity and avoiding causing harm, and ensuring meaningful access, accountability, participation and empowerment. All proposals must demonstrate integration of these principles in its substantive sections, i.e. the response strategy, the logic of the intervention, and the indicators.

To follow the principles of protection mainstreaming, targeting of humanitarian assistance should be done in in a manner that takes into account the protection concerns of individuals and groups based on: A) the risk of exposure to harm, exploitation, harassment, deprivation and abuse, in relation to identified threats; B) the inability to meet basic needs; C) limited access to basic services and livelihood/income opportunities; D) the ability of the person/population to cope with the consequences of this harm; and E) due consideration for individuals with specific needs. Particular attention must be paid to ensure that issues of social exclusion and discrimination are not overlooked, and that the specific needs of groups most often affected by this – people with disabilities, LGBTIs, and very marginalized social groups – are appropriately addressed in programme design and targeting. In line the Charter on Inclusion of Persons with Disabilities in Humanitarian Action, specific attention will be paid to the measures ensuring inclusiveness of people with disabilities in proposed actions.

http://ec.europa.eu/echo/sites/echo-site/files/staff_working_document_humanitarian_protection_052016.pdf

Resilience: DG ECHO's objective is to respond to the acute humanitarian needs of the most vulnerable and exposed people while taking opportunities to increase their **resilience** – to reduce on-going and future humanitarian needs and to assist a durable recovery. Where feasible, cost effective, and without compromising humanitarian principles, DG ECHO support will contribute to longer term strategies to build the capacities of the most vulnerable and address underlying reasons for their vulnerability – to all shocks and stresses.

All DG ECHO partners are expected to identify opportunities to reduce future risks to vulnerable people and to strengthen self-reliance through livelihoods and capacities. DG

-

See Annex 4 on p. 49 and forward of http://ec.europa.eu/echo/sites/echo-site/files/staff working document humanitarian protection 052016.pdf.

ECHO encourages its partners to develop their contextual risk and vulnerability analysis and to adapt their approach to the type of needs and opportunities identified. This requires partners to strengthen their engagement with government services (at all levels), development actors and with different sectors. In that regard, DG ECHO partners should indicate how they will increase ownership and capacity of local actors whenever possible: community mobilisation, CSOs, technical dialogue, coordination and gradual transfer of responsibilities to countries' administration or relevant line ministries.

Preparedness for response and early action should be the main element of DG ECHO's contribution to resilience and to humanitarian-development nexus/Linking Relief, Rehabilitation and Development (LRRD) programming.

Good coordination and strategic complementarity between humanitarian and development activities (LRRD approach) are essential to the resilience approach, particularly in relation to: i) increasing interest of development partners and governments on nutrition issues; ii) seeking for more sustainable solutions for refugees (access to education, innovative approach toward strengthening self-resilience, etc.) and IDPs; iii) integrating disaster risk reduction into humanitarian interventions.

Where applicable, partners should reflect on applying resilience thinking and programming to (protracted) **forced displacement** situations so as to harness resilience and strengthen dignity and self-reliance of affected populations – refugees, IDPs and their host communities. Working towards the gradual socio-economic inclusion of forcibly displaced populations – focusing on access to employment opportunities and access to services – in protracted crises is a priority for DG ECHO, DEVCO, NEAR and the EEAS. This joined-up approach of different EU instruments, each under their mandate should be supported by DG ECHO-funded partners, in line with humanitarian principles. Where feasible, DG ECHO partners should consider the use of EU Aid Volunteers if the security conditions in the country allow.

Linking **social protection** and humanitarian action can bridge the development-humanitarian divide: scaling up social protection systems in response to shock and crisis has been identified as one of the core measures to enhance resilience and empower people, and most importantly to be able to react quickly and efficiently to disasters.

Access to predictable, adequate and regular aid can in the short-term protect poor households from the impacts of shocks and help to build capacity over time. The increasing profile on multi-purpose cash-based emergency response provides further momentum towards safety nets as a component of a wider social protection approach. Moreover, emergency safety nets can be incorporated as a cornerstone of self-reliance strategy for empowering the forcibly displaced and giving them support to address vulnerabilities.

Without compromising humanitarian principles, DG ECHO partners are expected to consider if it is appropriate to deliver humanitarian assistance through national social safety nets or if it is possible to use the humanitarian response as a window of opportunity to trigger investments in the development of "nascent" safety nets. The longer-term aim in such a scenario is to progressively move chronic humanitarian caseloads into social protection systems.

http://ec.europa.eu/echo/files/policies/refugees-idp/Communication_Forced_Displacement_Development_2016.pdf

http://ec.europa.eu/echo/files/policies/refugees-idp/Staff working document Forced Displacement Development 2016.pdf

Resilience mainstreaming – The Resilience Marker

Actions addressing the immediate needs of affected populations, however, can also present opportunities for strengthening resilience. DG ECHO's approach to resilience, and the intent of its Resilience Marker, is to ensure that these opportunities are used to the greatest extent possible without compromising humanitarian principles. Four steps are key to take these good practice opportunities in humanitarian programmes:

- Conduct an analysis of hazards, threats, vulnerabilities and their causes;
- Be risk-informed (i.e. ensure that activities do not aggravate risks or vulnerabilities, do no harm and are prepared for likely hazards and threats);
- Contribute to building local capacities so that the most vulnerable can cope better with shocks; and
- Include a deliberate strategy to reduce future humanitarian needs.

The marker ensures a systematic consideration and inclusion of resilience considerations in project proposals, implementation and assessment. The marker is used for all DG ECHO projects apart from those that may be considered "Non-applicable" because of the urgency of context or the type of activity being conducted (e.g. capacity raising).

http://ec.europa.eu/echo/files/policies/resilience/resilience_marker_guidance_en.pdf

Community-based approach: In all sectors, interventions should adopt, wherever possible, a community-based approach in terms of defining viable options to effectively help increasing resilience and meeting basic needs among the most vulnerable. Community inclusion should be considered at all stages – design and implementation. Community ownership of the process is more effective and is encouraged. This includes the identification of critical needs as prioritised by the communities, and the transfer of appropriate knowledge and resources.

http://ec.europa.eu/echo/en/what/humanitarian-aid/resilience

Response Analysis to Support Modality Selection for all Resource Transfers is mandatory. DG ECHO will support the most effective and efficient modality of providing assistance, whether it be cash, vouchers or in-kind assistance. Partners should provide sufficient evidence to support the choice of one modality over another, taking into account all relevant contextual factors and including an analysis of the market situation in the affected area. For any type of transfer modality proposed, the partner should provide the minimum information as recommended in the 'Thematic Policy Document n° 3 - Cash and Vouchers: Increasing efficiency and effectiveness across all sectors' and demonstrate that the modality proposed will be the most efficient and effective to reach the objective of the action proposed. Partners are encouraged to consider multipurpose cash transfers (MPCT) where assessments and response analysis demonstrates that multiple basic needs can be met through single cash transfers. In such

approaches, the value of transfer would normally be based upon a Minimum Expenditure Basket (MEB), while taking account the contribution made by households, and available resources.

For in-kind transfers local purchases are encouraged when possible.

DG ECHO Visibility: Partners will be expected to ensure full compliance with **visibility** requirements and to acknowledge the funding role of and partnership with the EU/DG ECHO, as set out in the applicable contractual arrangements, namely the following:

- The communication and visibility provisions of the General Conditions annexed to the Framework Partnership Agreements (FPAs) concluded with non-governmental organisations or international organisations or in the General Conditions for Delegation Agreements concluded in the framework of the Financial and Administrative Framework Agreement (FAFA) with the UN.
- Specific visibility requirements agreed-upon in the Single Form, forming an integral part of individual agreements:
 - Section 9.1.A, standard visibility in the field, including prominent display of the EU humanitarian aid visual identity on EU funded relief items and equipment; derogations are only possible where visibility activities may harm the implementation of the action or the safety of the staff of the partner, staff of the implementing partners, the safety of beneficiaries or the local community and provided that they have been explicitly agreed-upon in the individual agreements.
 - Section 9.1.B, standard visibility recognizing the EU funding through activities such as media outreach, social media engagement and provision of photos stories and blogs; every partner is expected to choose at least 4 out of 7 requirements. If no requirements are selected, a project-specific derogation based on security concerns is needed.
 - Section 9.2., above standard visibility: applicable if requested and if agreed with DG ECHO based on a dedicated communication plan prior to signature.

For standard visibility activities, partners may, in principle, allocate a budget of up to 0.5% of the direct eligible costs of the action with a ceiling of EUR 8 000. However, for individual agreements equal or above EUR 5 million no absolute ceiling applies. Hence, in such cases, the standard visibility budget may go up to 0.5%, even when this amount exceeds EUR 8 000. In the latter case, partners must provide an overview of planned visibility activities and a budget breakdown.

Further explanation of visibility requirements and reporting as well as best practices and examples can be consulted on the dedicated DG ECHO visibility site: http://www.echo-visibility.eu/

Other Useful links to guidelines and policies:

Food Assistance

http://ec.europa.eu/echo/en/what/humanitarian-aid/food-assistance

Nutrition

http://ec.europa.eu/echo/files/media/publications/tpd04_nutrition_addressing_undernutrition_in_emergencies_en.pdf

Infant and Young Children Feeding in Emergencies (IYCF)

Year: 2018

Version 2 - 16/03/2018

http://ec.europa.eu/echo/files/media/publications/2014/toolkit_nutrition_en.pdf

Health

http://ec.europa.eu/echo/en/what/humanitarian-aid/health

Remote Management

http://dgecho-partners-helpdesk.eu/actions_implementation/remote_management/start

Water sanitation and hygiene

http://ec.europa.eu/echo/files/policies/sectoral/WASH_policy_doc_en.pdf

EU Aid volunteers

http://ec.europa.eu/echo/what/humanitarian-aid/eu-aid-volunteers en

https://eacea.ec.europa.eu/eu-aid-volunteers en

Shelter and Settlements

http://ec.europa.eu/echo/sites/echo-site/files/ss_consolidated_guidelines_final_version-20-02ev.pdf

3.2.2.2. Specific guidelines

General principles

- **Response priorities:** DG ECHO's priority is to provide assistance to people immediately affected by conflict and natural disasters, with a specific concern for the most vulnerable. Its response shall cover such sectors as: food security; emergency shelter; non-food items; health and psycho-social support, WASH, protection and education. DG ECHO will also support the facilitation of access to the affected populations, coordination of the response and security measures to protect the responders.
- **Needs assessments:** All proposals should incorporate a situation analysis and provide evidence-based needs assessment. The response strategy should include a prioritization of the required actions, clearly defined targeting criteria and intended outcomes.
- **Humanitarian access and Advocacy:** The unique operating environment of the region presents considerable challenges for Humanitarian access and principles. Partners will be expected to extend or at least preserve humanitarian space through the rigorous application of humanitarian principles (neutrality, impartiality and independence) and the constant promotion of international humanitarian law. Only partners with direct access to beneficiaries, adequate implementation and monitoring capacity and knowledge of the country/region will be considered.
- The use of Multi-Purpose Cash-Based Assistance: In all interventions the use of multi-purpose cash is prioritized, in line with the DG ECHO Cash & Voucher guidelines and the Common Principles for Multi-Purpose Cash-Based Assistance to Respond to Humanitarian Needs. Wherever conditional cash transfers

are identified as a potential response option, a provision for unconditional cash transfers or light conditionality must be included for the extremely vulnerable groups.

Sector-specific guidelines

- Protection: is an overarching concern to be mainstreamed into all DG ECHO funded actions. Gender- based violence and the critical needs of children in conflict and natural disaster settings must be addressed. There is also an urgent and pressing need to promote International Humanitarian Law (IHL) and recall to the parties to the conflict their obligations.
- WASH: support can be provided for a response through the provision of emergency water supply, sanitation and hygiene promotion and can include adequate stocks of pre-positioned supplies. NFIs, including hygiene kits, are only to be distributed in initial the phase of a response.

Focus will be on the rehabilitation and the repair of existing WASH systems/facilities before constructing new ones and on re-establishing institutional, social and organizational structures to manage WASH services. All WASH services must be context specific, reflect protection and gender concerns and be DRR-sensitive.

- **Health**: In accordance with DG ECHO policy, all health care provision must remain free of charge. Any exceptions to DG ECHO policy on this shall be justified explicitly by the Partner in the proposal.
- **Nutrition:** Nutrition interventions should not substitute for, or duplicate existing national programmes and must be justified on the basis of recent representative surveys corresponding to national and international guidelines. Priority will only be afforded to emergency situations where there is a prevalence of severe acute malnutrition that is above recognized emergency thresholds.
- DP-DRR: All actions supported by DG ECHO under DRR programming must fit
 into the respective national and regional DRR frameworks, as well as contributing to
 those being developed. Improving policy and legislative frameworks for disaster
 prevention and mitigation should be promoted. Proposals should be multi-hazard
 informed.

Country-specific priorities

Afghanistan

- Access: DG ECHO will insist on a direct management and monitoring approach in Afghanistan. A detailed explanation of the level and quality of access will be prerequisite for proposal selection. All actions are expected to at least maintain, if not support the expansion of humanitarian access in line with the humanitarian principles and prioritize areas without recent humanitarian assessment. DG ECHO supports the Humanitarian Working Group as leading advisor in accessing new areas.
- **Multi-sector rapid response**: Humanitarian response to those immediately affected by conflict and natural disasters remains the priority for DG ECHO in Afghanistan.

Emergency response shall cover the relevant sectors including food, emergency shelter, non-food items and WASH and protection.

All actions must be in line with the tools and guidelines developed under the *Emergency Response Mechanism (ERM)* including the *ERM Common Rationale* available from DG ECHO Kabul. This provides minimum standards and tools for assessment at the household level, response options and tools, post-distribution monitoring, and reporting. Where transitional shelter is considered, the *Emergency Shelter Guidelines* developed by DG ECHO partners for Afghanistan must be followed.

Proposals and target areas must be justified with reference to humanitarian needs at the provincial and district level with adequate analysis of the needs and trends in disasters, conflict, displacement and humanitarian needs. Pre-positioning of adequate supplies and response capacity must be aligned with the resulting analysis. Access, differentiated by quality of access, must be differentiated at least down to the district level and provided as a map. Access to only the district center should be highlighted and justified.

The response modality must be adequately justified in the proposal with reference to timeliness, efficiency, effectiveness and market assessment. In all interventions the use of cash is encouraged, in line with the DG ECHO Cash & Voucher guidelines and the *Common Principles for Multi-Purpose Cash-Based Assistance to Respond to Humanitarian Needs*. Wherever conditional cash transfers are identified as a potential response option, a provision for unconditional cash transfers or light conditionality must be included for the extremely vulnerable groups.

- **Refugees:** Pakistani refugees in Afghanistan will also be considered under this budget. Given the protracted nature of the displacement, focus will be on the provision of basic care and maintenance support and protection.
- **Health:** DG ECHO will support health actions related to the provision of adequate healthcare to those affected by conflict, disasters and epidemics. The treatment of war-wounded, including First Aid, stabilization, transportation, trauma-care will be a primary focus. Emergency psychosocial support and post-trauma rehabilitation, including physical rehabilitation can also be supported.

All projects shall clearly elaborate on linkages with the national health care systems (EPHS/BPHS) and distinguish clearly between services that should be provided under the national system and what is additionally proposed consequent to the effects of conflict or natural disaster. DG ECHO will not support actions that seek to duplicate existing EPHS/BPHS services or provide funding for under-funded providers.

- **Support Services:** Contributions can be provided towards the support to common services including safety & security advice and humanitarian flight services.
 - Such services must operate inclusively and in respect of the humanitarian principles. Proposals must demonstrate effective alignment with the needs of the humanitarian partners.
- DRR: Actions related to mitigation of natural disaster can be considered. DRR
 intervention should involve local communities and as far as possible rely on local

governance programmes. DRR intervention can include the training of first respondents and improving the government capacity to forecast, plan and respond to disasters at local and provincial level.

Pakistan

- MoU: Potential partners will be expected to have applied for the relevant Memorandum of Understanding (MoU) with the Government of Pakistan permitting them to operate in Pakistan. Partners that have been specifically refused the MoU will not be supported.
- Access: DG ECHO will only support actions where the partner is able to obtain adequate access to assess needs, implement the action in a timely fashion and effect regular monitoring.
- **Population Movements**: Programmes, promoting, or facilitating population movements will only be supported by DG ECHO as far as the 'movement' is voluntary, safe and sustainable and in compliance with international conventions and standards. Programmes that will ensure the protection of such populations will be given priority.
- Temporarily Displaced People (TDPs): In the case of TDPs, partners will be expected to demonstrate strong targeting based on vulnerabilities and de-linked from registration status. Actions must clearly differentiate between activities targeting recently displaced populations and those in protracted displacement. In areas of resettlement, programmes must demonstrate that they are not competing with government services, or development initiatives. Actions related to shelter, food security and health and nutrition will only be supported in the context of temporary, emergency needs.
- **Refugees**: For the protracted Afghan refugee caseload, DG ECHO's emphasis will be put on protection and safeguarding asylum space.
- **DRR**: In the context of its previous actions in response to the recurrent natural disasters in Sindh (floods in irrigated areas, drought in arid areas), DG ECHO will seek to support programmes that strengthen the provincial capacities to respond to nutritional emergencies and promote effective response strategies. The sector specific guidelines on nutrition should inform any emergency response. Actions related to DRR must also conform to sector specific guidelines.

Iran

- **Refugees**: This HIP will support programmes providing assistance to Afghan refugees regardless of registration status, with a specific concern for the most vulnerable. It can cover such sectors as: food security; emergency shelter; non-food items; health and psycho-social support, WASH, protection and education.
- Education and health: In the case of education and health programmes, DG ECHO will expect these to respect national guidelines and avoid the establishment of parallel systems and structures.

Year: 2018

Version 2 – 16/03/2018

• **Development 'Nexus'**. Where programmes are involved with caseloads of increasingly protracted refugees, DG ECHO will expect partners to envisage and promote longer-term solutions that not only involve mobilising government responsibility but also development donors.

Central Asia

• Emergency Responses: Be it through this decision, or any other funding mechanism at its disposal, DG ECHO will consider any proposals responding to any major natural/ man-made crises that might materialize in the course of the duration of the current HIP.